

The effect of differentiated exposure conditions on corrosion behaviour of weathering steel on bridges

Katerina Kreislova¹, Dagmar Knotkova¹, Vit Krivy², Jitka Podjuklova³

¹ SVUOM Ltd., U Mestanskeho pivovaru 934, 170 00 Prague, the Czech Republic

² IOK Ltd., Beskydska 235, 738 01 Frydek - Mistek, the Czech Republic

³ VSB-TU Ostrava, ul. 17.listopadu 2172, 708 33 Ostrava – Poruba, the Czech Republic

Application of weathering steels for bridges represents a suitable choice of this material for long term service lifetime. In the Czech Republic after year 1975 about 20 steel bridges were built from weathering steel. Atmotix 52 which fulfills the requirements of EN 10025-5.

The long-term durability of these structures should be obtained in case the suitable conditions for protective rust layer (patina) forming will be created: surface cyclic wetting and drying in acceptable level of air pollution. The evaluated objects are located in atmospheric conditions with corrosivity C3, but some of them had been exposed for the first 10 years in atmospheres with corrosivity C4. The only effect of salinity is from deicing salts applied during winter seasons.





Figure 1 - The examples of evaluated bridges

Differenciated exposure conditions are evocated by different orientation to the influencing environmental effect and by level of sheltering. Structure design can cause defect points and zones (corners, concaves). All these effects modify protective ability of patina layers.

The evaluation consists from: visual evaluation and photodocumentation,
measurement of corrosion product layers' thickness
measuring of residual thickness of steel profiles evaluation of corrosion layers' structure - reprints - analysis of corrosion products - PAI calculation.

Table 1 – Thickness of corrosion layers after 25 - 30 years

Surface area	Average corrosion layer
	thickness (µm)
vertical surface	145
vertical surface above low flange	240
vertical surface affected by leaking	190
horizontal surface – low flange	335
bottom view – upper flange	230
bottom view – low flange	140

Corrosion attack of vertical and horizontal surfaces is different (Figure 2). Critical areas are horizontal surface of low flange and narrow strip ca 15 cm above this flange where time of wetness of surface is longer and deposition of non-adherent rust, dust and other pollutions occurred. The rust layer is less adherent on these areas than on typical open surfaces but it is protective. This effect becomes less significant during exposure time (Figure 3).



Figure 2 - The corrosion loss of weathering and carbon steels after 12 years of exposure in different positions on bridge structures



Figure 3 – The specific affected areas above low flange - the same bridge evaluated in 2002 (left) and 2009 (built 1986)



corrosion layer thickness 190 µm

diagonale above bridge deck bottom view corrosion layer thickness 120 µm

main beam under bridge deck vertical position corrosion layer thickness 125 µm



Figure 4 – The different structure of patina layer on bridge after 30 years of exposure

Negative effect on protective layer forming has such detail as deck drainage system (scuppers, troughs, etc.). During the inspection of bridges there were found many defects caused by these functionaless, blocked or trimmed elements (Figure 5). Protective patina is not formed at such areas. In some cases precipitation containing deicing salts leaked on weathering steel surface and destroyed the protective ability of patina layer.



Figure 5 – The examples of damage of weathering steel structures

This paper had been elaborated in frame of project MPO - FT-TA5/076 with the financial support of the Ministry of Industry and Trade of the Czech Republic.